ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN BUSINESS DECISION SUPPORT: OPPORTUNITIES, LIMITATIONS AND GOVERNANCE CHALLENGES

Máté PROROK
GÁL FERENC UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ECONOMICS
prorok.mate@gfe.hu

Abstract:
Artificial intelligence has become one of the most influential technologies in business management. Its growing use in analytics, forecasting, automation and decision preparation has fundamentally changed how organizations transform data into actionable knowledge. The aim of this paper is to examine the application of AI in business decision support, with special attention to its theoretical foundations, major business use cases, managerial advantages, implementation risks and governance requirements. The analysis shows that AI can substantially improve the speed, consistency and informational depth of decision-making, but only if organizations combine technological capability with human oversight, explainability, data quality and legal compliance. Consequently, effective AI based decision support should be understood as a carefully governed human and AI collaboration.

Key words: *artificial intelligence, decision support systems, business analytics, managerial decision-making, governance*

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) is no longer a marginal technological experiment in business. It has become an increasingly important element of how organizations collect information, process signals and support operational, tactical and strategic decisions. The speed of this shift is visible in recent international evidence. According to the 2025 Stanford AI Index, 78% of organizations reported using AI in 2024, compared with 55% a year earlier, while the cost of using advanced AI capabilities fell sharply over a short period. This indicates that AI is not simply becoming more powerful, but it is also becoming more accessible. As a result, AI is moving from a specialized innovation domain into the everyday logic of management.[1]

The growing importance of AI is especially relevant in the field of business decision support. Traditional decision support systems helped managers organize data, retrieve reports and compare alternatives. However, AI extends this logic by detecting patterns, forecasting outcomes, learning from experience and interacting with users in natural language. This changes decision support from a mainly retrospective reporting function into a more predictive, adaptive and increasingly prescriptive capability. As organizations operate under growing uncertainty, time pressure and competitive complexity, the ability to make better-informed decisions more quickly becomes a strategic advantage.

The purpose of this paper is to analyze how artificial intelligence contributes to business decision support and under what conditions its use becomes truly valuable. The paper first discusses the theoretical foundations of AI-enabled decision support, then reviews the main business application areas and managerial benefits, and finally examines the most important limitations, risks and governance requirements. The central argument is that AI can improve decision quality and organizational responsiveness, but only when it is embedded in transparent, accountable and human-centered managerial systems.

[1]Stanford HAI (2025): The AI Index Report.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF AI-ENABLED DECISION SUPPORT

Decision support systems traditionally refer to computer-based systems that assist managers in semi-structured or unstructured situations by organizing information, applying models and supporting comparison among alternatives. Their classical role was not to replace the decision-maker, but to improve the quality of human judgment. AI-enhanced decision support preserves this purpose while changing the underlying logic of the system. Instead of relying mainly on predefined rules and static databases, AI-based systems use machine learning, pattern recognition, natural language processing and, increasingly, generative models. These technologies make it possible to process large and heterogeneous datasets, identify weak signals and produce outputs that support not only information retrieval but also interpretation and recommendation.

From a managerial perspective, the distinction between automation and augmentation is essential. In public discourse, AI is often presented as a substitute for human work. In business decision support, however, augmentation is the more accurate concept. The system may generate forecasts, classifications, alerts or recommended actions, but the manager remains responsible for contextual interpretation, trade-off evaluation and final accountability. Research on explainable AI in organizations stresses that firms derive greater value when AI recommendations are understandable and connected to human decision processes. This means that the most effective AI systems are not those that simply produce an answer, but those that support informed managerial reasoning.[2]

AI-enabled decision support can also be understood through analytical levels. Descriptive AI helps organizations identify patterns in historical data, for example by segmenting customers or flagging anomalies in financial records. Predictive AI estimates future events such as customer churn, demand fluctuations, fraud risk or delivery delays. Prescriptive AI moves one step further by suggesting actions, such as recommended prices, inventory levels, campaign priorities or supplier selections. Generative AI adds another dimension by allowing users to query data in natural language, request scenarios or receive draft explanations. Yet the greater the power of the model, the more important it becomes to preserve explainability. Coussement et al. argue that explainable AI is a critical condition for enhanced decision-making because users need to understand why a recommendation has been made[3.]

BUSINESS APPLICATION AREAS OF AI IN DECISION SUPPORT

Artificial intelligence already supports decisions in a wide range of business functions. In finance and controlling, AI is used for fraud detection, credit risk scoring, cash-flow forecasting, budget monitoring and scenario analysis. These domains are highly suitable for data-driven models because they involve repeated evaluations, large transactional datasets and a strong need for rapid pattern recognition. However, finance also illustrates why governance matters. The EU AI Act identifies credit scoring and certain employment-related systems as high-risk in relevant circumstances, which shows that not all AI decision tools can be managed as ordinary software. The same model that improves analytical performance may also create legal and ethical risk if it is opaque, discriminatory or insufficiently supervised.[4]

In marketing and customer relationship management, AI supports market segmentation, churn prediction, recommendation systems, demand estimation and personalized communication. Compared with traditional rule-based methods, machine learning can capture more complex

---

[2] Chaudhary et al. (2025): Deploying explainable AI in entrepreneurial organizations.

[3] Coussement et al. (2024): Explainable AI for enhanced decision-making.

[4] European Commission (2026): AI Act.

customer behavior and update models more dynamically. For managers, this enables a shift from broad campaign logic to individualized interventions and from reactive reporting to proactive action. Generative AI strengthens this by helping managers produce draft campaign ideas, summarize customer feedback or compare scenarios more efficiently. The business significance of these tools lies not only in efficiency gains, but also in their capacity to widen managerial attention and make customer-related decisions more evidence-based.

In operations and supply chain management, AI-based decision support has become increasingly important because firms must respond to volatile demand, supplier uncertainty, transport disruptions and changing cost structures. Review literature on AI-based decision support systems in Industry 4.0 highlights uses such as predictive maintenance, quality control, production planning, energy management and supply chain optimization. These applications help organizations anticipate bottlenecks, reduce downtime and allocate resources more effectively. In such contexts, the value of AI is often strongest where complexity exceeds the limits of human monitoring and where real-time data must be converted into timely decisions.[5]

Strategic management is another area where AI creates value, although in a different way. In strategy, the main contribution of AI is not necessarily direct automation, but broader scanning capacity. AI can process large amounts of market information, summarize competitive signals, compare scenarios and detect weak patterns that would be difficult to identify manually. This strengthens strategic sensing and scenario preparation. Nevertheless, strategic decisions remain deeply dependent on human judgment because they involve organizational purpose, risk appetite and long-term trade-offs that cannot be reduced to data patterns alone. AI can inform strategy, but it cannot legitimately replace executive responsibility.

Human resource management also demonstrates both the value and the sensitivity of AI-supported decision tools. AI can support workforce planning, skills matching, attrition prediction and performance analytics. Yet, if historical employment data reflect past bias, the system may reproduce unfair patterns in recruitment, evaluation or promotion. This shows that the usefulness of AI in decision support must always be judged not only by whether it predicts effectively, but also by whether its outputs are legitimate, fair and contestable.

BUSINESS VALUE AND MANAGERIAL BENEFITS

The main business advantage of AI in decision support is not simply computational speed. Its value comes from the combination of scale, pattern recognition, learning capacity and timely interaction. AI can process larger datasets and more variables than human decision-makers can realistically evaluate on their own. This is especially useful in environments where many decisions must be made repeatedly and under time pressure. In such contexts, AI can reduce search costs, improve forecasting and provide a more stable analytical basis for managerial action.[6]

Recent evidence also suggests that AI can generate measurable organizational benefits. The Stanford AI Index reports a growing body of research showing productivity improvements from AI and, in several settings, a narrowing of skill gaps. At the same time, the sharp reduction in inference costs lowers barriers to adoption and makes AI-supported analytics available to a broader range of organizations. From a business viewpoint, these developments matter because they increase the feasibility of using AI not only in large technology firms, but also in more

[5] Soori et al. (2025): AI-based decision support systems in Industry 4.0.
[6] Stanford HAI (2025): The AI Index Report.

traditional organizations that seek decision support advantages in pricing, planning, customer management or process optimization.[7]

From a managerial perspective, AI contributes value in at least five ways. First, it improves forecasting by using dynamic, multi-variable models rather than static averages or simple extrapolations. Better forecasting strengthens budgeting, staffing, inventory planning and risk management. Second, AI increases the speed of analysis, allowing firms to respond more quickly to changes in markets, customer behavior or operational performance. Third, it improves consistency by reducing the variation that often appears when similar cases are judged differently by different individuals. Fourth, it can widen managerial attention by scanning more data sources than a human team could reasonably process. Fifth, it can support scenario comparison and thereby strengthen decision preparation rather than merely documenting decisions after the fact.

Another major benefit concerns human–AI collaboration. Research on explainable AI shows that the quality of the interface between users and AI systems strongly influences whether recommendations are trusted and operationalized. This is strategically important because many AI initiatives fail not because the model is technically weak, but because managers do not understand the output, do not trust the recommendation or cannot connect it to business action. A good decision support system therefore needs both analytical power and communicative clarity.[8]

Generative AI further expands access to analytics by reducing dependence on technical specialists for every question. Managers can increasingly query data in natural language, request summaries, compare alternatives and obtain draft interpretations without writing code. This broadens participation in analytical work and can strengthen a data-informed culture across the organization. However, it also creates a new managerial obligation: users must understand that fluent output is not always correct output. Ease of use should not be confused with reliability.[9]

LIMITATIONS, RISKS AND GOVERNANCE CHALLENGES

Despite its potential, AI-based decision support is not inherently reliable or objective. The first major limitation is data quality. AI systems learn from available data, and if those data are incomplete, biased, outdated or poorly structured, the resulting recommendations can be misleading. In business practice, this problem is common because firms often operate with fragmented systems, inconsistent master data and historically distorted records. In such cases, AI does not solve a weak information environment, it can amplify its weaknesses.

The second major challenge is opacity. Some highly capable models are difficult for users to interpret, even when they perform well statistically. The NIST AI Risk Management Framework emphasizes that trustworthy AI should be valid and reliable, safe, secure and resilient, accountable and transparent, explainable and interpretable, privacy-enhanced and fair with harmful bias managed. These characteristics are directly relevant to business decision support because a recommendation that cannot be explained is difficult to contest, validate or govern. In managerial settings, trust depends not only on accuracy, but also on understandable reasoning and institutional accountability.[10]

A third challenge concerns trade-offs. NIST also notes that organizations often face tensions between predictive accuracy and interpretability, and between privacy and performance. In practice, this means that the statistically strongest model is not always the best decision support tool. In a sensitive field such as lending, recruitment or compliance, a somewhat less accurate but more interpretable model may be preferable because it supports human review, clearer accountability and better institutional trust.

---

[7]Stanford HAI (2025): The AI Index Report

[8] Coussement et al. (2024): Explainable AI for enhanced decision-making

[9] Chaudhary et al. (2025): Deploying explainable AI in entrepreneurial organizations.

[10] NIST (2023): AI RMF 1.0.

The fourth challenge is organizational overreliance. As AI systems become more convenient and conversational, managers may outsource too much judgment to the machine. This can weaken critical thinking, reduce accountability and normalize automation bias, the tendency to accept machine recommendations without sufficient verification. The danger is especially high when outputs are delivered quickly, fluently and with apparent confidence. For that reason, human oversight should not be designed as a symbolic approval step. It must involve real opportunities to question, override and review recommendations.

A fifth issue is legal and regulatory compliance. The EU AI Act establishes a risk-based legal framework for AI in Europe. According to the European Commission, the Act entered into force on 1 August 2024, prohibited AI practices started to apply from 2 February 2025, obligations for general-purpose AI models from 2 August 2025, and the main high-risk obligations will apply from 2 August 2026. For business decision support, this is highly relevant because many organizational use cases may interact with high-risk domains or with transparency obligations. Compliance can no longer be treated as a secondary issue after deployment.[11]

Trust is also not merely a technical issue. The OECD AI Principles stress inclusive growth, human-centered values, transparency, robustness, security and accountability. This suggests that responsible AI in decision support requires not only good models, but also institutions, roles and monitoring processes that connect technology with ethics, law and management practice. Governance must therefore be understood as a core part of implementation rather than as an external afterthought.[12]

CONDITIONS FOR EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION

If AI is to create sustainable value in business decision support, organizations must move beyond a simple tool-adoption mindset and build a broader implementation architecture. The first condition is data readiness. Firms need reliable data structures, shared definitions, clear ownership and appropriate quality controls. Without these foundations, even technically advanced models will produce fragile outcomes. Data governance should therefore be treated as a strategic precondition of AI-supported decision-making.

The second condition is alignment between the business problem and the model. AI should not be introduced because it is fashionable, but because it addresses a specific decision bottleneck or opportunity. Clear use-case selection helps determine which model type, interface and control mechanism are appropriate. A demand forecasting system, for instance, requires different success metrics and risk controls than an AI-supported recruitment tool. Implementation should begin with a concrete decision context, not with a generic technology ambition.

The third condition is explainability and usability. Research shows that explainable AI improves organizational decision making when the human and AI interface helps users understand and operationalize recommendations. In practical terms, this means paying attention to dashboards, narrative explanations, confidence indications, feature relevance and escalation mechanisms. A technically strong model can still fail if managers cannot interpret the output or do not know how to act on it.[13][14]

The fourth condition is human oversight and role clarity. NIST emphasizes accountability mechanisms, organizational commitment and the integration of AI risk management into broader enterprise risk processes. In practice, organizations should define who is responsible for model approval, exception handling, monitoring, retraining and post-deployment review.

---

[11] European Commission (2026): AI Act.

[12] OECD (2019): OECD AI Principles.

[13] Coussement et al. (2024): Explainable AI for enhanced decision-making.

[14] Chaudhary et al. (2025): Deploying explainable AI in entrepreneurial organizations.

Oversight also requires multidisciplinary cooperation among technical experts, business managers, legal professionals and compliance staff. AI governance cannot remain the exclusive domain of a single department.[15]

The fifth condition is continuous monitoring. AI models are not static assets; they can degrade as business conditions, customer behavior or market environments change. Drift detection, periodic retraining, audit trails and outcome monitoring are therefore essential. Organizations should evaluate not only technical performance but also business and ethical outcomes. Did the system improve decision speed? Did it reduce losses? Did it create hidden bias? Did users understand and challenge recommendations appropriately? Effective decision support requires learning after deployment, not only before it.[16]

The sixth condition is organizational learning. AI implementation is not a one-time technical project but a capability-building process. Managers need sufficient AI literacy to interpret outputs, question assumptions and understand limitations. At the same time, technical teams need contextual business knowledge so that they do not optimize the wrong objective. Effective decision support emerges when analytical competence and managerial judgment develop together.[17]

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence has become a defining technology in contemporary business decision support. Its importance stems from its ability to process complex data, identify patterns, improve forecasting, support operational optimization and enhance the responsiveness of managerial decision-making. In finance, marketing, operations, supply chain management, strategy and human resources, AI can create substantial value by accelerating analysis, improving consistency and broadening the informational basis of decisions. The paper has shown that these advantages are real, but they are not automatic.

AI-based decision support should not be interpreted as a self-sufficient decision-maker. Its effectiveness depends on data quality, explainability, human oversight, legal compliance and organizational governance. Poor data, opaque model logic, automation bias and insufficient control structures can undermine both the quality and the legitimacy of business decisions. This is particularly important where AI outputs influence credit decisions, employment opportunities, pricing or access to services.

For this reason, the strategic value of AI lies in augmenting rather than replacing managerial judgment. The most effective organizations will be those that design hybrid decision architectures in which algorithms provide scalable intelligence while humans preserve contextual reasoning, accountability and ethical responsibility. The future of business decision support is therefore not purely artificial and not purely human. It is a carefully governed collaboration between the two.

REFERENCES

[15] NIST (2023): AI RMF 1.0.

[16] Benlian, A., Pinski, M. (2025): The AI literacy development canvas: Assessing and building AI literacy in organizations

[17] Patchipala, S.G. (2023): Tackling data and model drift in AI: Strategies for maintaining accuracy during ML model inference.

a. Books and articles

1. Chaudhary, S., Khalil, A., Attri, R., Ractham, P. (2025), Deploying explainable AI in entrepreneurial organizations: Role of the human-AI interface, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 220, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2025.124324.
2. Coussement, K., Abedin, M.Z., Kraus, M., Maldonado, S., Topuz, K. (2024), Explainable AI for enhanced decision-making, Decision Support Systems, Vol. 184, pp. 1-6., DOI: 10.15680/IJIRCCE.2026.1402009
3. Soori, M., Jough, F.K.G., Dastres, R., Arezoo, B. (2025), AI-based decision support systems in Industry 4.0, a review, Journal of Engineering and Technology Convergence, DOI: 10.1016/j.ject.2024.08.005.
4. Patchipala, S.G. (2023), *Tackling data and model drift in AI: Strategies for maintaining accuracy during ML model inference*, International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 10(02), 1198–1209, DOI: 10.30574/ijsra.2023.10.2.0855.
5. Benlian, A., Pinski, M. (2025), *The AI literacy development canvas: Assessing and building AI literacy in organizations*, Business Horizons, ISSN 0007-6813, DOI: 10.1016/j.bushor.2025.10.001.

b. Internet sources

6. European Commission (2026), AI Act, Shaping Europe's Digital Future, available at: https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/regulatory-framework-ai, accessed on: 19.04.2026.
7. NIST (2023), Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0), NIST AI 100-1, National Institute of Standards and Technology, available at: https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ai/nist.ai.100-1.pdf, accessed on: 19.04.2026.
8. OECD (2019), Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence / OECD AI Principles, available at: https://oecd.ai/en/ai-principles, accessed on: 19.04.2026.
9. OECD (2026), AI adoption in firms is increasing but uneven across sectors and size classes, available at: https://oecd.ai/en/wonk, accessed on: 19.04.2026.
10. HAI (2025), The 2025 AI Index Report, available at: https://hai.stanford.edu/ai-index/2025-ai-index-report, accessed on: 19.04.2026.